

## Edith Watson

---

**Subject:** FW: File # QS 15C 24

---

**From:** Tom Gibson <[togibson@telus.net](mailto:togibson@telus.net)>  
**Sent:** February 4, 2025 9:48 AM  
**To:** Meredith Starkey <[mstarkey@srd.ca](mailto:mstarkey@srd.ca)>  
**Cc:** Aniko Nelson <[ANelson@srd.ca](mailto:ANelson@srd.ca)>; Tom Gibson <[togibson@telus.net](mailto:togibson@telus.net)>  
**Subject:** Re: File # QS 15C 24

Hello Meredith and All:

Thank you for your continued open and willing communication regarding this matter, I really appreciate the time and work you are having to do even though it appears to have grown way out of proportion.

That said, I can understand and appreciate the SRD's position to consider all sides and be wary of any potential liabilities.

My application dated Nov 17, 2024 appeared to show approval for sizing and set backs with the only issue being 4 of the 5 joint owners voiced approval with 1 saying no.

To progress with my Siting Application, I have followed every step the SRD requested to move forward then, with written statements from the 4 other owners, including the Manager, formally stating their approval.

None of which granted approval by the SRD.

I then followed the Joint Use Agreement which is part of the Disclosure Statement all owners agreed to and signed before purchasing their properties. In this Joint Use Agreement is the written protocol to solve any internal disagreements.

I explicitly followed this procedure, through the Manager who, as requested by the SRD, sent a formal letter stating the protocol and the results.

Even though all of the facts stated above were presented to the SRD, my application was still not approved and now I'm informed you'll have to vote.

I'm concerned this vote, by persons unknown to me, could rule against my Site Application, which could then cause the Joint Use Agreement to be invalidated. It will also make all of our properties less valuable on the open market due to one very difficult man.

This is not right.

I have one final thing to say before I rest my case.

On Jan 31, 2025, 10:34 a.m. <[aaron@theartcticcircle.org](mailto:aaron@theartcticcircle.org)> wrote:

"... our only objection to Tom's proposal remains the sight-lines from our property. We remain concerned that the natural view corridor from our property would be permanently altered by Tom's proposal."

The truth of the matter, and presented to the SRD by the other 4 owners is the "fact" that, from no where on Mr. O'Connors property will he be able to see my proposed small accessory building, let alone infringe on his "sight lines".

This latest communication from Mr. O'Connor is just a continuation of the 6 year, relentless campaign to make our peaceful lives very stressful. Part of which was a recent and very expensive Arbitration Proceeding, which he was ruled against and ordered to repay our \$63,000. in legal fees.

I strongly urge the SRD to consider the pain and suffering we've had to endure with our difficult neighbour and simply approve my Site Application.

Please forward this email to the Electoral Area Services Committee (EASC) meeting before Wednesday, February 5th.

Thank you and best of regards.

Thomas Gibson

SRD Siting Application: File # QS 15C 24