

15/1/20  
landscap

"REGIONAL BOARD" LYNM (GROW) ①

- ① THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING SOME TIME FOR ME TO SPEAK THIS AFTERNOON ON BEHALF OF DEB WOOD OWNER
- ② I PLAN ON TAKING NO LONGER THAN 5 MIN - HOPEFULLY LESS
- ③ I WASN'T SURE WHAT I WANTED OR NEEDED TO SAY BUT AFTER REVIEWING BOTH LETTERS FROM MY NEIGHBOURS I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS THEIR CONCERNS TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL CLARIFICATION + FACTS, FOR EVERYONE HERE.
- ④ THERE SEEMS TO BE 3 MAIN ISSUES:
  - ① THEIR CONCERN WITH OUR COMPLIANCE
  - ② HEIGHT
  - ③ AESTHETICS

AF READ NOTES:

ISSUE OF COMPLIANCE

LACK OF CONSIDERATION"

TO FOLLOW  
RULE OF  
ORDER"

WE HAVE DONE TO DATE

- ① DEC 10: VERBAL STOP WORK FROM GRD BUILDING OFFICIAL JORDAN HARGRAVE.
- ②a WE REQUESTED A FEW TIMES FOR SITE VISIT
- ② WE IMMEDIATELY APPLIED FOR PERMIT DEC 11
- ③ WE MET A FEW TIMES IN OFFICE
  - JORDAN SINCE LEFT THAT POSITION
  - KARE NIEFELD TOOK OVER IN ACTING POSITION
  - WE REQUESTED ON SITE VISIT AGAIN WHICH HE DID FOR NEXT STEPS.
- ④ WE THEN SOUGHT THE REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL SERVICES OF "MCELLANEY" FOR LAND SURVEY
- ⑤ STD PLANNER JOHN NEIL ISSUED US A "VARIANCE COMPLIANCE PACKAGE" AS A RESULT OF SURVEY JAN 23

DEC 11

DEC 11

②

⑥ THE RESULTS CAN BE NOTED IN THE JAN 31 STD PLANNING LETTER WHICH INDICATED WE WERE 39CM TOO (15") CLOSE TO OUR PIN BUT WERE SUPPORTIVE OF ALLOWING THE REQUESTED VARIANCE + SHOP TO REMAIN AS IS.

⑦ ~~MYSELF~~ + OUR BUILDER KYLE THEN ATTENDED ① "PLANNING STAFF REVIEW" WHO SUPPORTED THE VARIANCE VARIANCE MTEES ② SUBSEQUENT "ELECTORAL AREA SERVICES COMMITTEE"

⑧ WE HAVE ALSO ENGAGED THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES OF "HEI" TO PROVIDE GEO TECHNICAL STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS - ~~THEY~~ THEY HAVE BEEN ONSITE; PROVIDED PRELIMINARY COMMENTS - THIS IS AN ONGOING EFFORT UNTIL COMPLETE

⑨ SO, WE CONTINUE TO WORK IN A TIMELY MANNER WITH THE ITEMS REQUESTED OF US.

- A CRANKING PROCESS

OTHER COMMENTS IN OBJECTION CENTRE

3

- HEIGHT IS AN ISSUE
- OBTRUSIVE "VIEW SCAPES"
- OPPRESSIVE + OUT OF SCALE

A FEW POINTS ON THIS

FROM A COMPLIANCE ONLY PERSPECTIVE THE BUILDING HEIGHT IS ONLY AN ISSUE WITH THE 39m (OR 15") FROM ONE CORNER OF BLDG.

2. NEIGHBOURS IN QUESTION ACTUALLY LIVE ABOVE US ON STREET BEHIND SO THEY ARE LOOKING

DOWN ON OUR BUILDING - THEY PROVIDED PHOTOS WHICH YOU CAN SEE THEIR VIEWS + ELEVATION

3. WHAT OUR BUILDING IS ACTUALLY OBSTRUCTING IS OUR DECK + OLD TAN SHED. OCEAN MOUNTAINS ✓

4. THE BUILDING IS ALSO WRAPPED IN WHITE TYVEK, NO LANDSCAPING, DIRT ROCKS + MATERIAL BUILDING DEBRIS SO YES IT LOOKS UNPLEASANT.

5. ALSO THIS IS WINTER - NO TREES IN BLOOM, ~~UNPLEASANT~~ IN SUMMER TREES COVER A GREAT DEAL OF SURROUNDING AREA + VIEW SCAPES

6. WHEN WE FINISH THE STRUCTURE IT WILL BE FINISHED IN A BLACK METAL ROOF, ~~BLACK METAL~~ <sup>SUNSHINE GREY</sup> BLACK METAL SIDING WITH A STAINED FIR BELLY BAND.

IN FACT, I CAN GO DOWN THE HIGHWAY & LOOK AT A FEW NEW BUILDS + SEE A SIMILAR DESIGN IN TERMS OF THE ROOF LINE WE HAVE.

7) WE WILL ALSO BE UNDERTAKING EXTENSIVE LANDSCAPING TO CONTINUE TO IMPROVE THE OVERALL AESTHETIC - WE HAVE SPENT A LOT OF HOURS ON THE OVERALL DESIGN.

OVERALL DESIGN IS SUBJECTIVE?

ANALYTICAL APPROACH COMPASSION

THE WORD "OPPRESSIVE" WAS MENTIONED 4

2. WE TAKEN THE LIBERTY OF PRINTING OUT "BEFORE" "DURING" + "AFTER" PHOTOS 6
3. WE ACTUALLY HAD 5 STRUCTURES IN THE BACK PROPERTY NOT "ONE OLD SHED" AS WAS IN THE LETTER FROM NEIGHB.
  - ONE MAIN SHED
  - 2 OTHER STRUCTURES ADJOINING
  - 2 ROTTING GAZEBOS
  - \* PHOTOS CEMENT UNROOTED
4. THE BACK PROPERTY WAS INACCESSIBLE DUE TO OVERGROWTH OF <sup>THE</sup> VINES, TREES
  - THIS WAS "OPPRESSIVE" TO US FOR A FEW YR AND NO DOUBT TO OUR NEIGHBOURS

5. WE'VE CLEARED ALL OF IT AWAY IN 6 YEARS  
A GREAT YEAR OF EFFORT, CARE + ATTENTION OVER 6 YRS. ~~WE WERE WORKING ON THE OUTSIDE STRUCTURE OF OUR HOUSE -~~  
~~EXTERIOR & INTERIOR.~~

LACK OF APPROPRIATE CONSULTATION BY SJD

MY NEIGHBOUR THE KENNYS INDICATED LACK OF CONSULTATION

TO OUR NEIGHBOUR

1. MY RESPONSE IS 3 FOLD
2. WE BEGAN THE BUILDING OF THE STRUCTURE JULY 2019
3. WE INFORMED KENNYS VIA TEXT & INVITED THEM DOWN - ADDRESS OR ALLEVIATE CONCERNS. THEY CAME DOWN.
4. TWO REASONS WE KEPT THEM INFORMED (VIA TEXT) MORE THAN JUST TO KEEP NEIGHBOURS APPRISED OF PLANS.

5

5. BECAUSE OF THE WAY OUR HOUSE IS SITUATED, WE HAVE NO ACCESS TO OUR BACKYARD OTHER THAN ON FOOT. THERE IS A LAKE WAY THAT GOES UP TO THE HOUSE FOR RENTERS + THE KENNYS.

\* NOT AN EASEMENT.  
WE HAVE ABOUT 2.5 M FROM OUR HOUSE SIDE TO BACK

THIS MEANS WE NEED TO TEXT FOR "PERMISSION" TO BRING IN TRADES VEHICLES: SEPTIC, SOIL, GRAVEL, TREE CHIPPERS ETC.

WE HAVE TEXT MESSAGES FOR THIS PROJ. - JULY TO NOV.

6) THE STRUCTURE AS IT SITS NOW LOOKS THE SAME SINCE NOV. 2019 AFFORDING THEM WHICH ESSENTIALLY HAS PROVIDED ALL NEIGHBOURS, NOT JUST THE KENNYS + GABLEMANS, AMPLE OPPORTUNITY TO APPROACH SPD FOR RECOURSE.

I DO UNDERSTAND THE "FORMAL PROCESS" OF LETTERS NOT BEING RECEIVED BY NEIGHBOURS UNTIL AFTER THE FIRST VARIANCE MEETING HOWEVER THE STRUCTURE HAS BEEN IN PLACE SINCE NOV. 2019.  
(AS IS)

(6)

I HAVE A NEIGHBOUR ACROSS THE HWY WHO DECIDED A FEW YEARS AGO TO ADD 2 STORES TO HIS HOME.

I BITCHED ABOUT IT FOR ALMOST THE ENTIRE BUILD TIME - LITTLE DID I KNOW THAT I COULD HAVE SIMPLY CALLED THE SRD TO HAVE ANY CONCERNS OR QUESTIONS ANSWERED. WE ONLY BEEN HERE 6 YEARS AND I DONT EVEN KNOW IF I KNEW WHAT THE SRD WAS

\* KNOWLEDGE IS VALUABLE:

A VALUED COMMODITY

LAST ISSUE  
OF BUILDING  
USAGE

THIS ISSUE HAS BEEN BROUGHT UP BY MY NEIGHBOUR AT THE LAST MEETING AND ALSO THEIR LETTER.

I WILL SIMPLY SAY THIS. ONCE THE BUILDING IS COMPLETE ID BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO INVITE ALL NEIGHBOURS + SRD REPS OVER TO SEE IT THIS YEAR, NEXT YEAR ETC.

OUR DRIVING FORCE BEHIND THIS BUILD IS TO HAVE SPACE - WE CANNOT HAVE A SIDE GARAGE ON THE PROPERTY, NO STORAGE OR WORKSHOP SO IN THE BACK IT GOES + I AM ALSO MOTIVATED BY GOOD DESIGN + AESTHETICS.

(7)

I'D LIKE TO FINISH THIS UP BY SAYING THIS 39CM (15") CORNER PART OF THIS BUILDING + THE "VARIANCE APPLICATION PROCESS" HAS BEEN SUCCESSFUL NOT ONLY TO DEB (OWNER) + MYSELF BUT TO THE TWO SETS OF NEIGHBOURS WHO JOIN US TODAY. OUR SURROUNDING NEIGHBOURS HAVE NO OBJECTIONS + CONTINUE TO APPRECIATE THE IMPROVEMENTS THEY SEE.

THE PERMIT PROCESS HAS NOT BEEN SUCCESSFUL BUT INFORMATIVE.

WE CANNOT BE ALL THINGS TO ALL PEOPLE HOWEVER WE WILL ENSURE THE FINAL STRUCTURE WILL NOT ONLY COMPLY BUT BE A VAST IMPROVEMENT, AS HAS BEEN THE LAST 6 YEARS TO US + TO OUR NEIGHBOURS + THE COMMUNITY WE GREATLY ENJOY + PARTICIPATE IN.